INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION 03/01/96 FINANCE DOCKET # 32760 1616-1675 3+

1	You hear this sort of thing all the time.
2	But I will say this, Mr. Roach claimed
3	that Mr. Davidson testified that these various coals
4	do not compete.
5	Well, I beg to differ and I'll show you
6	the testimony.
7	JUDGE NELSON: Well, I really don't care
8	about it now.
9	MR. McBRIDE: Okay.
10	JUDGE NELSON: I'm not going to make that
11	decision.
12	MR. McBRIDE: I'm just representing to you
13	that he said there was competition between and among
14	these coals.
15	JUDGE NELSON: Anything else from the
16	Applicant side
17	MR. ROACH: Yes.
18	JUDGE NELSON: on the question of the
19	produceability of the of this rate information?
20	MR. ROACH: Yes, just a final comment on
21	the procedural side. I said that WCTL didn't appeal,
22	not that WCS didn't appeal. WCS doesn't have an

(202) 234-4433

outstanding request.

They had three days to appeal. Western Coal Traffic League didn't appeal.

I think that the argument is that it was irresponsible for Western Coal to then make a request for the information that had been denied to Western Coal Traffic League is, itself -- I don't want to say "irresponsible" because I'll write an apology letter. but bizarre -- bizarre.

If you see somebody denied something, he had better make sure he gets it by asking for it and litigating the issue.

And Your Honor, the notion that people can freely come in here and ask you to "reconsider" your prior rulings is yet another way to end-run the appeal time. It's just fantastic to me.

If this is the biggest rail merger in world history, the most cosmic transaction, and the rates are just final to litigate that, he should have asked for them a long time ago.

MR. McBRIDE: If Your Honor -- please, we were taking depositions which I thought were going to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

show the very thing I could now represent to you and that would perfect this record which was not perfected at that time.

And had I gone to the Board, even if I had a procedural right to appeal a ruling to which I wasn't a party, we wouldn't have had that kind of record. Now, we do.

JUDGE NELSON: All right. I'm not persuaded by the procedural arguments that there is a bar to this discovery.

I'm going to allow this discovery to go forward mainly because it involves coal, which is a vital commodity to the energy of the country, a commodity over which the railroads have a unique power and the exploration of the extent to which, if any, there is competition between these coals or between these railroads for this coal seems to be something on which we ought to allow people to go forward and not stop.

And if I didn't understand it before, I understand it now.

So I am going to order discovery of the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

rate information subject to the kinds of conditions we can work out to protect the information. I'm amenable to suggestions from the railroads as to what specific provisions they want to fashion so that we can feel reasonably confident about these materials.

MR. McBRIDE: May I --

JUDGE NELSON: And I'm asking the railroad, not you. So far, you're getting the discovery.

MR. McBRIDE: I understand. But I wanted to be fair to them and let them see what the interrogatories were. I wanted to ask that they --

JUDGE NELSON: You can show them anything you want. But I'm asking Mr. Norton for suggestions on how we want to shape this.

MR. NORTON: Your Honor, I would suggest that we might do, as I think you said earlier, the limitation on outside counsel only would be --

JUDGE NELSON: Is that acceptable to you?

MR. NORTON: This --

JUDGE NELSON: Outside counsel only?

MR. NORTON: This applies to both of us.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4435

1	MR. ROACH: I must say I'm so stunned by
2	the ruling that I don't know. I have to consult with
3	my clients.
4	If we have to answer a whole new set of
5	interrogatories after the deadline I see here a
6	list of something like 30 coal utilities and requests
7	for
8	JUDGE NELSON: That's all for further
9	debate. The issue right now is
10	MR. ROACH: Frankly, it never occurred to
11	us
12	JUDGE NELSON: how we're going to
13	handle the commercial sensitivity part. And the
14	suggestion has been outside counsel only.
15	MR. ROACH: I need to consult with my
16	client. It never occurred to us that we would be
17	ordered to answer interrogatories on a subject that we
18	have not even been served with and where the deadline
19	had passed.
20	JUDGE NELSON: Now, we may need to cut
21	these down and simplify them so that when you talk to
22	your client, you are talking about fewer, rather than

(202) 234-4433

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

many.

MR. McBRIDE: It's one interrogatory, and it's the utilities that are listed in the two SP business plans. And we just want the comparative rates.

JUDGE NELSON: Let me see this material.

MR. McBRIDE: Sure. And I wanted to say also to Your Honor that we cannot effectively present our case without one outside consultant being able to see -- you know, for each party, being able to see the answers to the interrogatories.

Our coal experts -- Mr. Mills has got one. I've got one. I don't know about the other parties -who need to see this information because they're the people who are going to have to put the rebuttal testimony together.

JUDGE NELSON: Now why this huge list of shippers? Why can't you cut that down?

MR. McBRIDE: They are the -- they are the utilities that are listed in this SP plan as the people that they successfully marketed coal to in the last few years.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

And I want to see the comparative rates so 1 that I can prove to the Board that SP got the business 2 because it offered lower rates. 3 So I need UP's rates to be able to do 5 that. 6 MR. ROACH: This is a comprehensive interrogatory asking for all kinds of rate 7 information, routing information, who handled what 8 coal to 30 different utilities. 9 10 He should have asked this question in 11 January or December. 12 JUDGE NELSON: Yes, I think considering the late stage we find ourselves at, I want you to 13 refine the list and cut it down and sharpen it. 15 MR. ROACH: There was nothing anyone could have said in a deposition that would have --17 JUDGE NELSON: I am prepared to order discover, but not of this magnitude at this stage of 18 19 the game. MR. McBRIDE: How about ten utilities? 20 JUDGE NELSON: Well, you work that out 21 with counsel. Let's take -- let's take a break here. 22

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

(202) 234-4433

1	MR. ROACH: How about we give them the
2	redacted information. How about that?
3	MR. McBRIDE: That's SP. I need the UI
4	rates to compare.
5	MR. ROACH: This is unbelievable. We were
6	not asked for this by anyone and WCTL
7	JUDGE NELSON: What SP redactions were
8	there before?
9	MR. NORTON: The revenue information and
10	the
11	JUDGE NELSON: All right. Why don't we
12	give them that, and then we'll see about how
13	restricted it has to be?
14	MR. ROACH: This is just what Mr. Mills
15	lost in the fight that we had in January. We're now
16	being
17	JUDGE NELSON: I understand that.
18	MR. ROACH: I mean, it's just it's a
19	wholly different issue than what we were here to
20	debate.
21	JUDGE NELSON: Well, the first suggestion
22	is, from the SP, that they turn over what they had

(202) 234-4433

1	previously redacted. Then we'll figure out who sees
2	it.
3	MR. NORTON: And that would be on
4	JUDGE NELSON: And that's all SP has to
5	do.
6	MR. NORTON: And that would be limited to
7	outside counsel only.
8	JUDGE NELSON: Well, we'll talk about
9	that. He has problems with that. What are you
10	looking at now?
11	MR. McBRIDE: I just wanted to show Your
12	Honor and them where I got this list from. These are
13	the same utilities as are listed in the SP plan who
14	they got the business away from other railroads from.
15	JUDGE NELSON: That has nothing to do with
16	what we're now asking.
17	MR. McBRIDE: I'm sorry.
18	JUDGE NELSON: The question now is the
19	suggestion made by Mr. Norton on behalf of the SP,
20	that he gives you want he previously redacted.
21	MR. McBRIDE: Right.
22	JUDGE NELSON: In theory
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4430

1 MR. McBRIDE: Right. JUDGE NELSON: -- that makes it easy for 2 him. You can find what was previously been -- you 3 have an unredacted version in your files, I'm sure. 4 5 MR. NORTON: Sure. JUDGE NELSON: All right, I'm going to 6 7 direct that. 8 MR. NORTON: Okay. JUDGE NELSON: And that will leave open 9 now the question of who can see it. 10 MR. McBRIDE: Well, and since he says 11 that's not rate information though, I mean those rates 12 13 and Mr. Roach's clients' rates --14 JUDGE NELSON: No, I'm not going to give 15 you any more. That was discovery. That was 16 redaction. We're fighting about it, and now we're 17 going to have those redactions delivered. 18 MR. McERIDE: Right. 19 JUDGE NELSON: And that's that. MR. McBRIDE: But I'm talking about the 20 21 interrogatory we were just referring to. 22 JUDGE NELSON: Any more, I'm not going to

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000 5

1	get into. We are in a late stage. There are these
2	difficulties. And I want to make things go smoothly.
3	MR. McBRIDE: If Your Honor would please,
4	one
5	JUDGE NELSON: And not in a cumbersome
6	way. Now, do you have this bunch of redactions also,
7	Mr. Roach, from your materials?
8	MR. ROACH: I don't believe so, Your
9	Honor. Did we redact anything from UP business plans?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. ROACH: We will check and if we did,
12	we'll produce it for
13	JUDGE NELSON: So for UP, we have to
14	fashion something.
15	MR. McBRIDE: Yes, because here's my
16	problem.
17	MR. ROACH: If we redacted material from
18	our coal business plans, we will produce the redacted
19	information. I don't believe we did.
20	But we each of the two railroads were
21	asked to go down a level in their business plans.
22	JUDGE NELSON: All right. To the extent
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

1	that there were previous redactions, they are going to
2	be turned over
3	Now to the extent that you want them
4	searching files for 30, because somebody else said it,
.5	I'm not going to do it that way. I don't care who
6	said it.
7	MR. McBRIDE: I'm not arguing
8	JUDGE NELSON: I'm asking you to sharpen
9	this
10	MR. McBRIDE: Right.
11	JUDGE NELSON: focus it and cut them.
12	MR. McBRIDE: I will.
13	JUDGE NELSON: And if you can't do it
14	MR. McBRIDE: I will.
15	JUDGE NELSON: it's a simple matter to
16	deny the whole business.
17	MR. McBRIDE: That's fair enough.
18	JUDGE NELSON: I want to open the door
19	here some.
20	MR. McBRIDE: Do you want us to negotiate?
21	JUDGE NELSON: I want yes. I want to
22	get a helpful suggestion from counsel.

(202) 234-4433

	I have two suggestions.
2	JUDGE NELSON: And then the second problem
3	is who can see it.
4	MR. McBRIDE: The least burdensome, I
5	thought, was to simply ask them for the data
6	JUDGE NELSON: You talk to them about it
7	MR. McBRIDE: of the ten largest or
8	whatever. The other was we deposed Mr. Sharp already.
9	They could give him the information and then we could
10	reopen that deposition and ask him about it.
11	Because that took place in the intervening
12	period between your ruling and now, and he was their
13	coal witness.
14	MR. ROACH: You know, we also had a long
15	acrimonious, complicated argument last time with WCTL
16	that went to this same sort of material, Your Honor.
17	And you may recall, we were ordered
18	finally to negotiate over a list of utilities
19	JUDGE NELSON: And it didn't work.
20	MR. ROACH: And it did work. We did it.
21	And we are doing it. And here we are with a whole
22	other effort to get at the same stuff.

(202) 234-4433

19

20

21

22

We have searched. We have agreed on 15 utilities. We have spent weeks searching the files.

JUDGE NELSON: Well, I can go through here and pick every other one.

MR. ROACH: This is just fantastic.

JUDGE NELSON: Or at random, every fifth one. I can close my eyes and stab the piece of paper, and that's the way it will be.

MR. ROACH: They want us --

JUDGE NELSON: Do you want me to do that?

MR. McBRIDE: I'd rather you do that then
let them pick because they're going to go back and
then choose the ones that are best for them.

MR. ROACH: I think you should stay with what you ordered last time. And I think Your Honor may not recall everything he ordered last time.

We had to search 15 utilities' files in both of these railroads and report to WCTL every instance where the two had competed for the same -- the two coals had competed to go to the same utility.

We're still finishing that search. It was a huge job. And we did it in response to arguments of

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1	just this kind.
2	JUDGE NELSON: So in doing that work, wh
3	can't you also get this price and volume information
4	whatever it is he gave
5	MR. ROACH: Because we'll have to go back
6	and do a thousand more searches to get this because
7	that's not what we've been searching for.
8	JUDGE NELSON: How far have you gotten?
9	MR. ROACH: I don't know. I think we're
10	close to being complete with that work.
11	JUDGE NELSON: Can you find that out?
12	MR. ROACH: I can find it out.
13	JUDGE NELSON: All right, let's take a
14	break while counsel finds that out.
15	(Whereupon, the proceedings went off the
16	record at 3:11 p.m. and resumed at 3:24 p.m.)
17	JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Norton.
18	MR. NORTON: Your Honor, with respect to
19	the request for the additional discovery, which is
20	separate from the redaction question, we have agreed
21	that we will in addition to providing the information
22	that we were called upon to provide with respect to

(202) 234-4433

same facility. the overlap.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

the list of shippers identified by Western Coal Traffic League in response to earlier requests that you ruled on on January 26th, which were called on us to identify where there was an overlap in situations where both companies have significant proposals to the

We will, in those instances where that happened, we will also give information about the final rate positions of the parties, in addition to

MR. MILLS: Is that for all the applicants for both Union Pacific and Southern Pacific?

MR. NORTON: That's correct, yes.

MR. MCBRIDE: And just so I make sure we have clarity on this. The way these rates are often times quoted, they are shipper cars and rail cars often times, and they are different types of rates or tonnage levels. I presume we're going to get that kind of breakdown, because those are the rates.

JUDGE NELSON: There's a question, Mr. Norton, whether they'll get a breakdown when it's shipper cars versus railroad cars.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

MR. NORTON: Well, we would -- the rate information is probably going to be comparable in both cases if they are responding to bids that we provided

JUDGE NELSON: Anything else?

MR. ROACH: Well, we'll give the final bid for each, if that's broken down two ways, shipper

JUDGE NLLSON: The final bid is whatever

MR. NORTON: Now we would also think that this information, as we indicated before, ought to be subject to a higher level of confidentiality.

JUDGE NELSON: That's the next question. I'm prepared to turn it over to counsel only.

MR. MCBRIDE: We have a coal expert and so does Mr. Mills. The coal expert is going to review this material and draw the inference and submit the rebuttal testimony. He is outside all of these companies. He's a consultant, just like Mr. Sharp.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

So we're not giving this to somebody who is then going to derive commercial advantage.

JUDGE NELSON: For who does he work? Who are his clients?

MR. MCBRIDE: Well, he has done work for coal companies and utilities, as well as railroads. His data base goes to the Union Pacific, Mr. Sharp testified.

His name is Mr. Jerald Vanonneti. He is with Resource Data International. He signed the protective order in this proceeding. He sat in on Mr. Sharp's deposition to prepare this testimony. He's an honorable person. Your Honor can order him to do whatever Your Honor orders him to do with the information, but I have to have him be able to prepare rebuttal testimony about it.

MR. MILLS: We would also like to request that we have one expert who we have not yet finally identified, but we'll be in a similar role, Western expert for -- Western Shippers Coalition also will be permitted to see the information under the same guidelines, but only one.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

It's not clear how much 1 MR. NORTON: expertise is needed to hear the two rates and 2 determine one is higher or lower than the other. I'm 3 not sure that this is the same kind of information 4 5 that is necessary access to the outside experts who are going to be continuing to represent the people we 6 7 have to negotiate with, and possibly also our 8 competitors. JUDGE NELSON: I think that Mr. Norton has 9 10 the better of it on this one as far as you are going to get the numbers that you can make sense out of 11 12 them. We have experienced counsel here, and deal with such things. I don't think you need experts. 13 MR. MCBRIDE: I should have told you something.

JUDGE NELSON: In the better world, it would be nicer to have them. But we are dealing with highly sensitive stuff.

MR. MCBRIDE: Let me explain the problem. I'm sorry, I --

JUDGE NELSON: Which you read at the last minute for. I want you to have some of it, but I want

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON; D.C. 20008

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

to respect these protections.

MR. MCBRIDE: I understand. I just want to explain to you that this is a little more complicated than just putting two rates along side one another. Because if it's PRB coal, for example, versus Colorado or Utah, PRB coal is in the 8,000 to 8,500 btu per pound range. Colorado Utah coal is in the 11,000 pound per btu range. Then you have to look at ratings of boilers. This is what these kinds of experts do.

I am not that expert.

JUDGE NELSON: The expert can do all that, Mr. Norton, as long as you can -- price.

MR. MCBRIDE: But he has got to take the numbers and put them on an equal footing so that he can draw a conclusion from them. It is a little more complicated, because the utilities buy the coal on a per BTU basis, so they make these kind of computations. So do the railroads I'm sure, if they are marketing right. He has to be able to draw a conclusion about these somewhat adjusted numbers.

JUDGE NELSON: Is there a way to code the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000S

(202) 234-4433

numbers so the consultant will know what they are? 1 MR. NORTON: Well, I think that's already 2 provided for as to the response to 30 CTL. We were 3 going to be doing that. 5 JUDGE NELSON: I'm lost now. MR. NORTON: No. Part of the ruling on 6 January 26th was that the information would be provided of the overlap, would be provided ultimately in a coded form. So we would be indicating --

JUDGE NELSON: So then the consultant can look at the code?

MR. NORTON: Well, if that applies here, that would alleviate that problem.

JUDGE NELSON: Why don't we do that?

MR. MCBRIDE: Let me make another point. If they are going to give us what is not historical rate information where one railroad won the business over another, then I think almost by definition, that information is not going to be at all useful to him, even if they wanted to theorize he was going to do something with it for some other client in the next: negotiation. Every one of these situations --

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	JUDGE NELSON: Now we're talking about two
2	different things. If the rate we're talking about
3	the turnover of rates.
4	MR. NORTON: Right.
5	JUDGE NELSON: The winning bid. Is that
6	right?
7	MR. NORTON: Well, the winning and the
8	losing.
9	JUDGE NELSON: And the losing bid, the
10	last bid.
11	MR. NORTON: That's right.
12	JUDGE NELSON: If there is a system of
13	coding them, which you would have to work out, what
14	harm can it be if the consultant sees the code?
15	MR. NORTON: I don't think we would have
16	the same problem in that case.
17	MR. ROACH: But if he sees the rates and
18	what's coded is who is the utility, I think that's
19	what we were talking about.
20	JUDGE NELSON: I am trying to code the
21	price.
22	MR. ROACH: Well, it's not going to tell
CHECK PROPERTY.	

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1	him anything if he doesn't see what the price is.
2	That's the problem.
3	I mean this is what we argued about at
4	length last time.
5	JUDGE NELSON: He can see we can make
6	a code of relative strength, like 3X and 2X.
7	MR. MCBRIDE: We can accept the coding of
8	the utilities and just take the prices and the rates
9	and go from there, which I understood was Mr. Norton's
10	
11	JUDGE NELSON: No, no. The problem is
12	that he doesn't want your consultant seeing the
13	prices. My suggestion is that we code the prices.
14	MR. ROACH: Give a ratio instead of a
15	JUDGE NELSON: Yes. Something that would
16	reflect magnitude, but without the actual real
17	dollars. Could we do that?
18	MR. NORTON: That could be done.
19	MR. ROACH: To go to the consultant, and
20	the actual prices to the lawyer.
21	JUDGE NELSON: Yes. Exactly.
22	MR. ROACH: I think that would be
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHOCKE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

accopcu.

acceptable.

JUDGE NELSON: So I'm going to direct that, that coded prices be provided the consultant, and the real prices to counsel only, outside counsel only, no --

Okay. What else do we have?

MR. ROACH: I have one other thing I need to say about this, the matter we just resolved, Your Honor. That is, that by agreeing to this we are foregoing our right to appeal. We did this before with KCS, as you may recall. We found ourselves back here.

We very much do not want to find ourselves back here with yet another bite of the apple on this issue. We thought it was resolved January. Now we're trying to resolve it on March 1. We are stipulating to something that we very much would prefer to appeal from, frankly.

JUDGE NELSON: Well, if we were here for a third time with seeking further discovery with reference to this alleged coal competition, I would be very upset at it. You remind me. Twice is enough.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

(202) 234-4433

1	MR. MCBRIDE: Fair enough, Your Honor. I
2	just need to know since our evidence is due in four
3	weeks and we have to work through a consultant, when
4	the applicants estimate we'll get this information.
5	JUDGE NELSON: How soon can this
6	production go on?
7	MR. ROACH: Just as soon as we can do it.
8	We're near the point of identifying where the overlap
9	is. I think it will be a matter of days to go to the
10	overlap cases and get the rate information.
11	MR. MCBRIDE: Could we set an outside date
12	of next Friday?
13	JUDGE NELSON: A week from today. How's
14	that?
15	MR. ROACH: Yes. Unless we come back to
16	you and show you cause, which I don't imagine we'll be
17	able to do.
18	JUDGE NELSON: That's fine.
19	MR. MCBRIDE: And then I guess we could
20	come back and show you cause if our understanding of
21	this didn't work.
22	JUDGE NELSON: Well, I don't really want

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

to see this again.

2

MR. MCBRIDE: I understand.

3

JUDGE NELSON: We've been through it twice

That we understand what

4

now in a different context.

5

MR. MCBRIDE:

7

6

it may be that their hieroglyphics and ours are a

8

little different.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

we're being told here in kind of hieroglyphics. But

JUDGE NELSON: You're going to see the real numbers and the hieroglyphics. understand what they did. I would expect Mr. Roach and Mr. Norton or whoever does the work, if there's a question about how the coding translates to the real numbers, that somebody could help them see that relationship.

MR. ROACH: Sure.

JUDGE NELSON: All right?

MR. ROACH: As long as everybody is being fair and candid with everybody, we'd like the study of Mr. Vananneti or Vasanetti that you said you'd give the applicants at the hearing today.

MR. MCBRIDE: Yes, sir.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

4
5
3
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
20
21

1

2

3

MR. ROACH: Thanks.

MR. MCBRIDE: You bet.

JUDGE NELSON: All right. Now what else do we have today? We have Mr. Lubel's -- is there any other item, or is that it now?

What do you have?

MR. MILLS: We have a request for depositions of one Burlington Northern witness and two Union Pacific witnesses.

JUDGE NELSON: Well put aside this argument of the non-testifying witnesses. What else is there about it?

MR. MILLS: About those witnesses?

JUDGE NELSON: Yes. Who are they, and why do you want them?

MR. MILLS: One is a Burlington Northern witness who was involved in some bidding for a movement to energies or gulf state utilities, Nelson Generating Station in Louisiana, and which there was some bidding that took place between the Burlington Northern, the Union Pacific, as originating carriers, the Kansas City Southern and the Southern Pacific as

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1	terminating carriers. The result of that bidding, in
2	our clients view, indicates that this merger will
3	result in a loss of competition.
4	Our client has had some conversations with
5	Mr. Shala, the individual in question participating in
6	the bidding, that indicates that he may or may not or
7	perhaps share in the bidding view. We'd like to
8	inquire of him about that in particular.
9	JUDGE NELSON: Who is he?
10	MR. MILLS: His name is Mr. Sammy Shala,
11	S-H-A-L-A.
12	JUDGE NELSON: He works for the BN?
13	MR. MILLS: He works for the BN, and he is
14	headquartered in Fort Worth, I think.
15	MS. JONES: Yes. He is.
16	JUDGE NELSON: What's his job?
17	MS. JONES: He is Assistant Vice President
18	for Coal Marketing at the BN Santa Fe.
19	JUDGE NELSON: And he was involved with
20	some bidding you say?
21	MR. MILLS: Yes. And some conversations
22	concerning the impact of this merger on the Burlington
-	
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4435

1	Northern Santa re's ability to compete for movement,
2	the movement of coal to that particular plant.
3	JUDGE NELSON: What are those plants
4	again?
5	MR. MILLS: One is the one I just referred
6	to, the Nelson plant. That is near Lake Charles,
7	Louisiana, which is one of the the line from
8	Houston to New Orleans, over which the Burlington
9	Northern will obtain traffic rights in the settlement
10	agreement.
11	That plant is now served by the Kansas
12	City Southern, which is a blue line.
13	JUDGE NELSON: A blue dotted line?
14	MR. MILLS: No. It's a north-south blue
15	line.
16	JUDGE NELSON: Oh I see. From Shreveport
17	coming down.
18	MR. MILLS: Right. Down to Lake Charles.
19	The plant is located just north of Lake Charles on
20	that line. They are in the process of constructing a
21	spur, or actually a line down to the red line, just to
22	the west of Lake Charles, which is a second line.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

1	JUDGE NELSON: I don't see where Lake
2	Charles is.
3	MR. MILLS: May I approach?
4	JUDGE NELSON: Yes, please. Oh I see it
5	over here.
6	MR. MILLS: That line was constructed in
7	order to give this plant a
8	JUDGE NELSON: What is it that's located
9	at Lake Charles?
10	MR. MILLS: The Nelson
11	JUDGE NELSON: Go slowly. You know all
12	about this, and I don't know anything about it.
13	MR. MILLS: Gulf States utilities, Nelson
14	Generating Station is located just the north of Lake
15	Charles on that blue Kansas City Southern Line.
16	JUDGE NELSON: And it burns coal.
17	MR. MILLS: It burns powder river basin
18	coal produced in Wyoming.
19	JUDGE NELSON: It gets in on the blue
20	line.
21	MR. MILLS: Right above present, it is
22	railed from the powder river basis to Kansas City by
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

the Burlington Northern, and then down to this plant via the Kansas City Southern.

JUDGE NELSON: All right.

MR. MILLS: An alternative route for the coal based on this new construction I just told you about is either Burlington Northern or Union Pacific to Fort Worth, Texas. Then it's via the Southern Pacific to the plant. The Southern Pacific will have access to the plant as a result of this new construction.

JUDGE NELSON: SP is the orange line?

MR. MILLS: Yes.

JUDGE NELSON: How does the orange line get from Fort Worth to Lake Charles?

MR. MILLS: I believe it moves from Fort Worth to Dallas, and then down through Houston, and then to the east through Beaumont and Orange, Texas, to the Lake Charles.

JUDGE NELSON: So on the one hand, you get the coal now from the KCS?

MR. MILLS: Correct.

JUDGE NELSON: After the merger, the KCS

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000S

(202) 234-4435

is still there.

and SP.

2

3

4

MR. MILLS: That's right. And the SP will also be there as an independent entity, absent the merger. After the merger, will be a single entity, UP

5

JUDGE NELSON: So what?

7

6

MR. MILLS: I'm getting into highly confidential matters. So again, I gather the record

9

10

8

is closed on this point.

JUDGE NELSON: Well, you can close it and

11

you police the room.

12 13

MR. MILLS: I don't think anybody else is here that wasn't here earlier that indicated they are

not highly confidential.

15

JUDGE NELSON: I'm not getting why you want this Burlington Northern to witness what this is

17

18

about.

19

20

21

this movement last August, shortly after the merger application was filed, resulted in the best terminating carrier rate among the two options, Kansas

City Southern, from Kansas City to the plant, and

MR. MILLS: The bidding that occurred for

22

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. W. SHINGTON, D.C. 20006

Southern Pacific, from Fort Worth to the plant. 1 best option in terms of the rate level was the 2 Southern Pacific from Fort Worth. 3 Absent the merger, either the Burlington 4 5 Northern or the Union Pacific could originate the coal and take it to Fort Worth and hand it off to the 6 Southern Pacific for delivery of the plant. That was 7

terminating carrier options.

JUDGE NELSON: If the merged company could cut out the KCS --

the -- Southern Pacific was the best of the

MR. MILLS: Well, the merged company could cut out the KCS and could also cut out the Burlington Northern.

JUDGE NELSON: They could do that today.

MR. MILLS: They could cut out the KCS today. They can not cut the Burlington Northern out today, but they could after the merger, by not giving them a competitive rate via Fort Worth.

JUDGE NELSON: I do not see the need for this. I am going to deny that request for that deposition.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Who else do you want?

MR. MILLS: We have also requested that two witnesses from the applicants, Mr. Goth of the Union Pacific's Coal Marketing Department, and Mr. Hutton of the Southern Pacific's Coal Marketing Department. That request was -- it's technically out of time. It was served yesterday morning. I don't know whether Mr. Roach intends to object to it or not, but it covers several of the same subjects we wanted to go into with Mr. Shala.

MR. ROACH: I do intend to object, but it's governed by the --

JUDGE NELSON: Sustained. I am denying that request. So I am denying the deposition as to all three.

What is left? Mr. Lubel?

MR. LUBEL: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE NELSON: We're down to you now. This has to do with the deposition of Mr. Eis.

MR. LUBEL: It's brought up -- it has come up --

> JUDGE NELSON: In the settlement

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHOOE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON; D.C. 20006

privilege.

MR. LUBEL: Well the privilege that they are claiming under the trackage rights agreement. Your Honor, I'll be very brief, because the evidence that we have and that we have presented to you is so strong and so compelling, that we feel it speaks for itself.

We have found evidence, Your Honor, we have basically done what you told us. When you considered this Burlington Northern agreement before, your ruling was, it's not an absolute privilege, but you've got to make a showing. You said show me somebody who said something or you think might have said something that gives you reason to believe there's some relevant evidence there. That is exactly what we have done, Your Honor.

Now I have submitted a letter to Your Honor. I have got a notebook, the black notebook that has the attachments to it. There are five or six points in there. But I will go to the one point --

JUDGE NELSON: This book is like an inch and a half thick. There's no way that I could --

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

MR. LUBEL: Your Honor, all that is --1 it's the letter is six pages. All it is is the things 2 that were referred to, the prior deposition 3 transcripts, the prior hearing transcripts. JUDGE NELSON: I have your letter of 5 February 28th. 6 MR. LUBEL: And attached to that. Your Honor, is the entire report. 8 JUDGE NELSON: I as a matter of fact read it on the subway this morning, quickly. 10 MR. LUBEL: The showing that we make, Your 11 Honor, is in the form of this call report. It's 12 attached. It's exhibit 4. It's attached to the 13 letter. It is a call report of October 5, 1995, 14 conversation between Mr. Brad Skinner of the Tex-Mex 15 Railroad and Mr. Roland Briedenberg, Vice President 16 17 Transportation of Burlington Northern Santa Fe. 18 If you go to the second page of that, Your 19 Honor, and I will quote. JUDGE NELSON: The one that says the final 20 part of the conversation? 21 22 MR. LUBEL: The final part

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

interesting. He says -- and this has to do with again, the agreement that was entered in --JUDGE NELSON: I have it as the first page. I guess that's the way the fax did it. MR. LUBEL: Roland told me -- now Roland again is with Burlington Northern. Told me that they are not interested in Mexico. That they will not bid in the privatization process. They have a limited capital budget and do not want to be distracted by activities there.

I said, well if you are not interested in Mexico, why are you going to be handling the trackage rights all the way down to Brownsville, that they are getting under this agreement with the applicants.

He said, Brad, I was in the conversation when the trackage rights were awarded. Now this is -we've gotten under the tent, Your Honor. We've gotten inside. Here's somebody talking about these negotiations.

He said I was on the conversation when the trackage rights were awarded. Dick Davidson, that's the President for UP, said the following. That he

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHOOE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

would award the western trackage rights. That's out 1 west, I-5 corridor, California, things like that. As 2 long as we would take the rights from Texas to New 3 Orleans, Texas to Memphis, and to Brownsville. It was 5 a package deal. 6 Then he says, Rob, which is Rob Krebs of Burlington Northern Sante Fe, and Roland said, well we would prefer that Tex-Mex be our operator from Corpus to Houston. I have given Your Honor a map that shows where these areas are. The significance of this, Your Honor --JUDGE NELSON: Let me find the map. MR. LUBEL: Here's the map that just shows you where. JUDGE NELSON: Oh. I have it over here. Go through it again, slowly. MR. LUBEL: Read what he says here? JUDGE NELSON: No. Tell me what it is you think they are saying. MR. LUBEL: In general, Your Honor, what they are saying is, they weren't that interested in these routes to South Texas. Specifically he mentions

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

200

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Corpus -- Houston to Corpus Cristi. 1 JUDGE NELSON: Where does Tex-Mex, where 2 does it operate? 3 4 MR. LUBEL: It goes -- I believe it goes across from Corpus Cristi to La Reda. It goes across 5 right there. We've given you kind of a blow-up there. 6 JUDGE NELSON: It's shown as UP on here. MR. LUBEL: No. The UP is the line coming 8 down, Your Honor. That line across is intended to be 9 Tex-Mex. 10 JUDGE NELSON: This east-west railroad? 11 MR. LUBEL: Yes. If I could tell you the 12 13 significance, Your Honor, I think that will come back and add some light to the map. 14 The significance is, as you recall, their 15 agreement, the applicants agreement with Santa Fe, 16 17 they say -- I mean with Burlington Northern Sante Fe, they this satisfies the competitive problem, the 18 19 reductions from two to one. And that the Burlington Northern will be a strong competitor in all areas. 20 21 But this memo, Your Honor, contradicts

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

that.

22

1	JUDGE NELSON: What are we talking about
2	now?
3	MR. LUBEL: There are two to one in South
4	Texas. The one I have showed you here is right now
5	you've got Sante Fe I mean you've got Union Pacific
6	and Southern Pacific going from Houston down to Corpus
7	Cristi and Brownsville. Now they will be merged.
8	JUDGE NELSON: Look on the colored map,
9	you can see it better. I see Houston, and I see
10	Brownsville.
11	MR. LUBEL: Corpus Cristi is on the way
12	down there, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE NELSON: There's one railroad there,
14	shown in red.
15	MR. LUBEL: That's the UP. SP has the
16	trackage rights, currently has trackage rights over
17	the UP. They are an independent carrier now before
18	the merger, with trackage rights over the UP.
19	JUDGE NELSON: So it is as though two
20	railroads operate.
21	MR. LUBEL: Right. That's not really an
22	excuse, Your Honor.

(202) 234-4433

1	WELSON: Between Houston and
2	Brownsville. That would be a two to one situation?
3	MR. LUBEL: That's right.
4	JUDGE NELSON: Do they treat it as a two
5	to one situation?
6	MR. LUBEL: Yes. That is not in dispute.
7	JUDGE NELSON: Their answer is that that
8	will be mitigated by the Burlington Northern.
9	MR. LUBEL: And this memo
10	JUDGE NELSON: Where are they on the map?
11	MR. LUBEL: Well, they are going to be
12	allowed
13	JUDGE NELSON: The green railroad.
14	MR. LUBEL: I don't know all of their
15	routes, but they are going to be allowed down here.
16	JUDGE NELSON: trackage rights over the
17	same. So
18	MR. LUBEL: Like replacing the Southern
19	Pacific.
20	JUDGE NELSON: Coming in instead of the
21	Southern Pacific. All right. So now what?
22	MR. LUBEL: The premise of their argument,

(202) 234-4433

that the settlement will create a strong competitor 1 there, and this memo contradicts that. 2 JUDGE NELSON: Now let's see why. 3 MR. LUBEL: Because the memo is saying that we would really rather have Tex-Mex be the 5 carrier that comes down here. It says -- it starts 6 off saying we're not that interested --JUDGE NELSON: Go through it slowly. See 8 again, you know this stuff thoroughly and you believe in it. I don't know it at all, at least 10 superficially, and I don't know that I believe it. So 11 12 when you go that fast, you are losing me. 13 MR. LUBEL: Certainly, Your Honor. If you reference the memo, the memo is self-explanatory. 14 JUDGE NELSON: I am looking at the second 15 16 page of the call report. MR. LUBEL: Yes. 17 JUDGE NELSON: The call report is a report 18 19 of a phone call. 20 MR. LUBEL: That's right. JUDGE NELSON: Becween --21 22 MR. LUBEL: Mr. Skinner.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4439

1	JUDGE NELSON: Skinner, who is a Tex-Mex
2	employee.
3	MR. LUBEL: Right.
4	JUDGE NELSON: And Mr. Briedenberg is it?
5	MR. LUBEL: Yes. Mr. Briedenberg, who has
6	brought
7	JUDGE NELSON: Works with the
8	MR. LUBEL: That's correct.
9	JUDGE NELSON: That's Roland Briedenberg
10	MR. LUBEL: That's right.
11	JUDGE NELSON: And that's the railroad
12	that is going to come in there and be the antidote.
13	Now he says we. Who is the author of
14	this? Oh, of the Tex-Mex.
15	MR. LUBEL: Roland told me that they are
16	not interested in Mexico.
17	JUDGE NELSON: We talked about Mexico
18	Roland, that's the BN, told me they are not interested
19	in Mexico. They the privatization process, and
20	then there are the reasons.
21	They are not interested in Mexico, tends
22	to show, you would say, that they are not interested

(202) 234-4433

1	in the trackage rights between Houston and
2	Brownsville.
3	MR. LUBEL: Right. That's what he said.
4	He said
5	JUDGE NELSON: Is that what Mexico means?
6	MR. LUBEL: Well, Mexico you obviously
7	get into Mexico by going down over those grounds.
8	JUDGE NELSON: As well as by way of San
9	Antonio and La Redo.
10	MR. LUBEL: That's true, Your Honor. But
11	Mr. Skinner says, well if you are not interested in
12	Mexico, why are you going to be handling the trackage
13	rights all the way down to Brownsville. In other
14	words, why have you accepted this under this
15	agreement?
16	JUDGE NELSON: The Tex-Mex man says to
17	Burlington. If you're not interested, why are you
18	doing these trackage rights.
19	He said, Brad who is Brad?
20	MR. LUBEL: This is Brad Brad is the
21	guy who is being talked to.
22	JUDGE NELSON: He says Brad, I was in the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

(202) 234-4433

1	conversation when the trackage rights were awarded.
2	That is, the Burlington Northern.
3	Dick Davidson who is that?
4	MR. LUBEL: President of Union Pacific,
5	Your Honor. The applicant is here.
6	JUDGE NELSON: This is what
7	MR. LUBEL: This is inside the
8	negotiation.
9	JUDGE NELSON: Mr. Briedenberg is telling
10	Skinner that Davidson said
11	MR. LUBEL: Right.
12	JUDGE NELSON: That he Davidson is a UP
13	man, you said?
14	MR. LUBEL: President of Union Pacific.
15	JUDGE NELSON: He would award the western
16	trackage rights. I don't know what that means. As
17	long as we would take. Who is we?
18	MR. LUBEL: Your Honor, as long as we,
19	that's Burlington Northern Santa Fe, would take these
20	other rights.
21	MR. KOLASKY: Your Honor.
22	JUDGE NELSON: Suggesting there was some
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

1	deal that it was a
2	MR. LUBEL: The point here, Your Honor, is
3	that they were saying
4	JUDGE NELSON: Whereby Burlington in
5	return for taking the trackage rights to Brownsville
6	would get something else.
7	MR. LUBEL: It's the western rights. See
8	under the trackage rights agreement, Your Honor, they
9	get a lot of rights out in California, all up and down
10	what is known as the I-5 corridor. Those and other
11	rights in the western states are very important to
12	Burlington Northern.
13	What we say this shows is that he is
14	saying that if you want those western rights, you've
15	got to take these rights too, even though
16	JUDGE NELSON: Are the western rights,
L7	whatever they are, end up with the Burlington
18	Northern?
19	MR. LUBEL: Yes. They get trackage rights
0	out there.
1	JUDGE NELSON: So Davidson can be read as
2	saying or reported as saying that he who is he to

(202) 234-4433

1	award the rights? He's the president?
2	MR. LUBEL: He is the president of Union
3	Pacific.
4	JUDGE NELSON: And that's the controlling
5	company?
6	MR. LUBEL: They are the applicants here.
7	They are the ones cutting this deal, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE NELSON: He is saying he would award
9	to the Burlington the western trackage rights, which
10	is something the Burlington wants.
11	MR. LUBEL: Here's a map that shows the
12	western.
13	JUDGE NELSON: As long as we would take
14	the rights from Texas to New Orleans Memphis and to
15	Brownsville.
16	MR. LUBEL: Right.
17	JUDGE NELSON: So it was a package deal.
18	Is that where I should stop reading?
19	MR. LUBEL: Yes. Then the next sentence,
20	the next sentence is, while it does alarm you to the
21	fact that Rob and Roland of Burlington Northern
22	JUDGE NELSON: Who is Rob?

(202) 234-4433

1	MR. LUBEL: Rob is Rob Krebs, who was the
2	chairman of the board, incoming he was the
3	president, now the chairman of the board of Burlington
4	Northern.
5	JUDGE NELSON: And Roland? That's
6	MR. LUBEL: That's the person who is
7	saying this.
8	JUDGE NELSON: Says, well we would prefer
9	that Tex-Mex be our operator from Corpus to Houston.
10	MR. LUBEL: Again, showing that they would
11	prefer somebody else come down that way rather than
12	them.
13	JUDGE NELSON: Dick's response was oh,
14	this is the when the package deal is proposed.
.5	MR. LUBEL: The package deal was proposed
.6	above. I think his response
.7	JUDGE NELSON: Burlington's response is,
.8	we prefer Tex-Mex be our operator. Burlington wanted
9	to use Tex-Mex to do their work to Brownsville?
0	MR. LUBEL: I believe it's saying that
1	we'd rather have the Burlington Northern company.
2	We'd rather have the Tex-Mex handling this route.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1	JUDGE NELSON: Who is we?
2	MR. LUBEL: We, being Burlington Northern.
3	JUDGE NELSON: So instead of getting the
4	trackage rights, thev wanted to have Tex-Mex get them?
5	MR. LUBEL: That's right.
6	JUDGE NELSON: Is that right?
7	MR. LUBEL: That's the fair inference from
8	it. The point, the whole point that it shows,
9	especially referring to it as a package deal, Your
10	Honor, is that it may show that Burlington Northern is
11	not as interested in the south Texas routes. What
12	that could lead the Board to conclude, that could lead
13	the Board either to deny this merger or to condition
14	the merger on granting rights to a different
15	competitor in that region who might be more interested
16	in chat.
17	JUDGE NELSON: What is this stuff that
18	follows?
19	MR. LUBEL: Well, Your Honor, the stuff
20	that follows is it seems to be Mr. Briedenberg

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

JUDGE NELSON: It sounds unfortunate.

(202) 234-4433

making --

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	MR. LUBEL: It does. I've not brought
2	that up. We didn't quote that.
3	JUDGE NELSON: We don't need to
4	MR. LUBEL: I have not brought that up,
5	Your Honor.
6	JUDGE NELSON: Who knows how accurate it
7	is.
8	MR. LUBEL: Our point is, Your Honor, that
9	we have now made the showing that you have asked us
10	for. You have said go out and find where somebody
11	JUDGE NELSON: All right. So let's see
12	what it is you have shown. That the negotiations
13	included within them a package deal, whereby
14	Burlington Northern agreed to the trackage rights to
15	Brownsville as a package, because it wanted the more
16	attractive western.
17	MR. LUBEL: It actually says more than
18	just Brownsville. It says that the rights from Texas
19	to New Orleans to Memphis and to Brownsville.
20	JUDGE NELSON: All right. So this was the
21	package deal, and here's the Burlington. So what?
22	What are you proving?

(202) 234-4433

1	MR. LUBEL: Your Honor, what we have
2	proved is that we have made the showing that Your
3	Honor said, that they might not have been as
4	interested. Burlington Northern might not be as
5	interested in the South Texas route.
6	JUDGE NELSON: If they hadn't have gotter
7	the sweetest stuff out west. So what?
8	MR. LUBEL: That they didn't necessarily
9	want it, Your Honor. That they really didn't want it
10	That they only took it because they had to take it
.1	because
.2	JUDGE NELSON: So what?
.3	MR. LUBEL: Because the applicants were
4	saying to them, if you don't take this, you can't have
.5	those.
6	JUDGE NELSON: Let's assume that exac
7	case.
8	MR. LUBEL: Okay.
9	JUDGE NELSON: They never really wante
0	it, but they are stuck with it as part of a busines
1	decision because there was other more attractiv
2	stuff.

(202) 234-4433

MR. LUBEL: Exactly.

JUDGE NELSON: So what?

MR. LUBEL: What that might lead the commission to conclude, I think it's very logical, Your Honor, is that the Burlington Northern Sante Fe might not be as effective a competitor in that area as the applicants are saying. They might not be -- if they are not that interested in it, they might not be that respective a competitor. Hence, the Board might condition the merger.

JUDGE NELSON: That they might just be there for show business.

MR. LUBEL: Yes. And that the Board might condition the merger on giving those rights in that area to someone else. There's further support for this that I point out in my letter, Your Honor.

There was an affidavit previously submitted by Tex-Mex from a Mr. Fields, Mr. Larry Fields. You may recall this. He said that in the last year, Burlington Northern has made some very curious rate decisions. They have raised their rates for grain traffic down to Mexico so high, as to price

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

them out of the market. That is another indication that maybe they won't be that interested.

JUDGE NELSON: What is FMN?

MS. JONES: Mexican Railroad.

MR. LUBEL: I believe that's the Mexican railway, Your Honor.

Your Honor, our point is, we're not here to litigate. We're not here to litigate. In fact, whether Burlington Northern --

JUDGE NELSON: How about a condition that turns that business over to the FMN?

MR. LUBEL: That certainly could be a possibility.

JUDGE NELSON: Then that sits nicely.

MR. LUBEL: According to Mr. Davidson's remark, it wouldn't sit that nicely with him necessarily. But the point is, Your Honor, we're not here to litigate whether or not Burlington Northern in fact will be an effective competitor. That is for the Board to decide. But we feel we have clearly made the showing that Your Honor required to justify our inquiring into at least this aspect of the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

18

19

20

21

22

1	negotiations.
2	We have already made our other argument
3	before Your Honor.
4	JUDGE NELSON: Put the slurs to one side.
5	I don't like them. It's too easy to not like them.
6	Therefore out of discovery because of them. I am
7	trying to discipline myself not to do that.
8	MR. LUBEL: We do not
9	JUDGE NELSON: To your credit, you are not
10	relying.
11	MR. LUBEL: We do not rely on that, Your
12	Honor.
13	MR. KOLASKY: Your Honor, may i be heard
14	on this for one moment?
15	JUDGE NELSON: On what?
16	MR. LUBEL: I'll yield.
17	MR. KOLASKY: On behalf of Conrail. We
18	support KCS's motion. I just want to make one
19	additional point.
20	We are going to be showing, and so our
21	affirmative case, that there are very serious

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20008

impediments in terms of the trackage rights that the

22

(202) 234-4433

1	Burlington Northern is receiving.
2	The response that we've been hearing
3	consistently in all of the depositions is that the
4	trackage rights were awarded to Burlington Northern in
5	this area because that is who the shippers wanted, and
6	because they are the meanest, scariest competitor
7	around.
8	We think that the evidence that Mr. Label
9	has adduced suggests, as we have suspected for some
10	time, that that is not in fact the case. That in
11	fact, the Burlington Northern is not interested in
12	being an effective competitor in this particular
13	region.
14	JUDGE NELSON: I understand their
15	contention.
16	MR. KOLASKY: Okay.
17	JUDGE NELSON: What discovery is it you
18	want now?
19	MR. LUBEL: Well, Your Honor, first.
20	JUDGE NELSON: What do you want to do with
21	whom?
22	MR. LUBEL: If Mr. Eis's deposition

(202) 234-4433

1	continues on Monday, we want to be able to ask him
2	questions about the negotiations. We also feel at the
3	very least, we should be able to depose Mr.
4	Briedenberg, who made these statements. He is the
5	Burlington Northern employee who made these statements
6	about
7	JUDGE NELSON: Well, first things first.
8	Mr. Eis is a deponent.
9	MR. LUBEL: Yes. He is already set. It's
10	a continuation of his deposition.
11	JUDGE NELSON: Was he present at these
12	meetings?
13	MS. JONES: Excuse me. He was present and
14	Mr. Greenberg was not.
15	MR. LUBEL: Well, Ms. Jones says Mr.
16	Briedenberg was not. By this statement, Mr.
17	Briedenberg obviously indicates he was present at some
18	conversation where this was said.
19	MS. JONES: And there's sworn testimony
20	from several witnesses as to who was present. No one
21	has ever testified
22	JUDGE NELSON: Now I am confused with all

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

1	these allegations ba
2	Let's go
3	Who does he work
4	MR. LUB
5	Northern person who
6	settlement agreement
7	JUDGE NE
8	Mr. Davidson made th
9	MR. LUBE
10	know if this was nece
11	conversation. He sa
12	the trackage rights
13	could have been a tel
14	three, Mr. Davidson,
15	The fact
16	JUDGE NE
17	do with these conver
18	MR. LUBEI
19	necessarily a party
20	JUDGE N
21	mentioned in these.

ack and forth.

et back to this fellow, Mr. Eis.

EL: Mr. Eis is the Burlington is involved in negotiation of the

LSON: Was he in the meetings when ese remarks?

EL: Well, Your Honor, we don't essarily a meeting or a telephone id I was in the conversation when were awarded. That very well ephone conversation between these Mr. Briedenberg, and Mr. Krebs:

that Mr. --

LSON: What does Mr. Eis have to sations?

: These conversations, he wasn't to this conversation.

ELSON: The name isn't even

MR. LUBEL: That's right. That is why we

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

22

1	need Mr. Briedenberg.
2	JUDGE NELSON: Why do you want to ask Mr
3	Eis about them?
4	MR. LUBEL: Because Mr. Eis was involve
5	in the negotiations of the Burlington Northern U
6	agreement. He has submitted a statement in this cas
7	where he said, and this is also in my letter, You
8	Honor. Mr. Eis has said in his statement that the
9	agreement was negotiated
10	JUDGE NELSON: Of the hard negotiations
11	MR. LUBEL: The hard negotiation.
12	JUDGE NELSON: I remember that. Well
13	that's different.
14	MR. LUBEL: So we said, okay. Why do you
15	
16	JUDGE NELSON: You've got two claims here
17	One is that you want to probe Mr. Eis on what he means
18	by these strenuous negotiations. The other is this
19	business of the package deal with BN as the unwilling
20	unhappy, grumbling railroad in that area.
21	MR. LUBEL: And we need to depose Mr
22	Briedenberg.

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

1	JUDGE NELSON: And whether it will really
2	perform.
3	MR. LUBEL: We need to depose Mr.
4	Briedenberg on that.
5	JUDGE NELSON: Greenberg?
6	MR. LUBEL: Briedenberg. Mr. Briedenberg.
7	JUDGE NELSON: Oh, he's one of these
8	MR. LUBEL: He is the one that was
9	talking.
10	JUDGE NELSON: Let's stay with this, with
11	Briedenberg. He is a vice president.
12	MR. LUBEL: I think the testimony he's
13	number two in there. Their network operations or
14	systems department. There was testimony that his
15	responsibilities would include
16	JUDGE NELSON: And he is telling Skinner
17	these things?
18	MR. LUBEL: Yes. We got this call report
19	from Mr. Skinner of Tex-Mex.
20	JUDGE NELSON: I understand that. He is -
21	- if there's a deal, it's in the remarks of Davidson.
22	MR. LUBEL: Yes, Your Honor.

(202) 234-4433

Mr. Davidson is not JUDGE NELSON: Briedenberg. Briedenberg knows only what he reports 2 he heard Davidson say. MR. LUBEL: That's right. JUDGE NELSON: Why aren't we going for Davidson? MR. LUBEL: Well, Your Honor, in Mr.

Davidson's deposition, we did ask, start to ask him about the -- whether the Burlington Northern had ever expressed that view that it was not really that interested in the south Texas area, and they object, saying you can't get into that at settlement.

JUDGE NELSON: Well then why do you want -- I'm still not getting clearly why you want Mr. Briedenberg. He is simply reporting something he heard Mr. Davidson say.

MR. LUBEL: There's two sides of a conversation. If Mr. Briedenberg says that this is what was -- well, because Mr. Briedenberg knows two things. He knows the level of Burlington Northern Sante Fe's interest in Mexico, as he has reflected in this call report. And of course he also knows what

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 234-4433

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22